1 2 3 4	THE WESTON FIRM GREGORY S. WESTON (239944) greg@westonfirm.com 1405 Morena Blvd., Suite 201 San Diego, CA 92110 Telephone: (619) 798-2006		
5	Counsel for Plaintiff		
6	[Additional Counsel in Signature Block]		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	VALORIE WINN, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,		
12	-	Case No: 4:17-cv-2524-HSG	
13	Plaintiff,	JOINT STATUS REPORT RE S-L SNACKS APPEAL	
14	v.	Judge: The Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam	
15	MONDELEZ INTERNATIONAL, INC. and PAK 'N SAVE, INC.,	Class Action	
16	Defendants.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

I. Summary

While the stay of this case has lasted longer than the parties hoped, the reason for it remains as strong as ever: a key preemption issue in this case will likely soon be decided by the Ninth Circuit. Should the Ninth Circuit find the use-of-trans-fat UCL claim in *S-L Snacks* preempted, Plaintiff will voluntarily dismiss her very similar trans fat use claims, sparing the Court and Defendants from briefing and resolving the complex preemption question. If the Ninth Circuit rules against preemption, and possibly other arguments for dismissal also applicable here, Defendants will either be able to focus their motion to dismiss arguments on issues unique to this case, or decline to file a motion to dismiss. Either way, a continued stay promotes judicial economy, and with the final case argued and submitted ten months ago, will probably not extend much longer.

II. Status Report

Pursuant to the Court's July 17, 2018 Order Staying Case Pending Appeals (Dkt. 37) and October 24, 2018 Order Continuing Stay (Dkt. 39) and October 2, 2019 Order Directing the Parties to Provide the Court with a Status Update (Dkt. 46), the Parties submit the following Status Report. In its July 17 order, the Court identified three cases pending before the Ninth Circuit which might address similar allegations relating to preemption of state-law claims involving partially hydrogenated oil: *Hawkins v. The Kroger Company*, 9th Cir. No. 16-55532 and *Hawkins v. AdvancePierre Foods, Inc.*, 9th Cir. No. 16-5669, and *McGee v. S-L Snacks Nat'l, LLC*, 9th Cir. No. 17-55577 (previously captioned *McGee v. Diamond Foods, Inc.*).

On August 10, 2018, the Ninth Circuit issued an unpublished memorandum opinion affirming the district court's order granting defendant's motion to dismiss in *Hawkins v. AdvancePierre Foods*, *Inc.*, 733 Fed. App'x 906 (9th Cir. 2018). The opinion expressly declined to reach the preemption issue.

On October 4, 2018, the Ninth Circuit issued a published opinion in *Hawkins v. Kroger Co.*, 906 F.3d 763 (2018). The Ninth Circuit held plaintiff Hawkins had standing to pursue her claims relating to the use of partially hydrogenated oil, and that her consumer fraud trans fat label claims were not preempted, but expressly declined to reach the issue of state law preemption regarding the use (as opposed to the labeling) of trans fat/PHO.

Case 4:17-cv-02524-HSG Document 47 Filed 10/03/19 Page 3 of 4

1	The Parties believe this final issue releva	ant to this case will likely be addressed by the Ninth
2	Circuit in the third case, McGee v. S-L Snacks 1	Nat'l, LLC, 9th Cir. No. 17-55577, which was argued
3	and submitted on December 5, 2018. For this re	ason, the Parties suggest a continuance of the present
4	stay until a further status report, to be due eith	ner January 10, 2020, or within fourteen days of the
5	decision (or other resolution) of McGee v. S-L Sn	acks.
6		
7	DATED: March 15, 2019	Respectfully Submitted,
8		s/ Gregory S. Weston
9		THE WESTON FIRM GREGORY S. WESTON
10		1405 Morena Blvd., Suite 201
11		San Diego, CA 92110 Telephone: (619) 798-2006
12		•
13		Counsel for Plaintiff
14		s/Dean Panos
15		JENNER & BLOCK LLP
16		Dean N. Panos (applying <i>pro hac vice</i>) dpanos@jenner.com
		353 N. Clark Street
17		Chicago, IL 60654-3456 Telephone: (312) 222-9350
18		Telephone. (312) 222-3330
19		Alex Smith asmith@jenner.com
20		633 W. 5th St., Suite 3600
21		Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 239-2054
22		-
		Counsel for Defendant
23		
24	* *	*
25		
26		
27		
28		2

1	[Proposed] Order
2	Pursuant to the parties' joint position in their status report, it is ordered that the stay of this case
3	be continued until a further joint status report due January 10, 2020, or within 14 days of a decision or
4	other resolution of the appeal McGee v. S-L Snacks, whichever is sooner.
5	
6	DATED:, 2019
7	
8	
9	
10	The Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
11	United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3